



## The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

## ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

## 637.MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROMES - CLINICAL AND EPIDEMIOLOGICAL

**Efficacy and Safety of Luspatercept +/- Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agent (ESA) in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes with Ring Sideroblasts (MDS-RS): A French Multicenter Prospective Real-Life Registry**

Thibault Comont<sup>1</sup>, Maud D'Aveni<sup>2</sup>, Laurence Schenone<sup>3</sup>, Jose Miguel Torregrosa-Díaz<sup>4</sup>, Lorea Aguinaga, MD<sup>5</sup>, Juan Pardo<sup>6</sup>, Marie Sebert, MD PhD<sup>7</sup>, Sorin Visanica<sup>8</sup>, Clemence Santana<sup>9</sup>, Lenaig Le Clech<sup>10</sup>, Aspasia Stamatoullas, MD<sup>11</sup>, Sylvain Thepot, MD<sup>12</sup>, Etienne Daguindau<sup>13</sup>, Guillaume Beziat<sup>14</sup>, Teresa Botin<sup>15</sup>, Sophie Dimicoli<sup>16</sup>, Sophie Park, MD PhD<sup>17</sup>, Etienne Paubelle<sup>18</sup>, Bohrane Slama<sup>19</sup>, Lise Willems<sup>20</sup>, Houria Debarri<sup>21</sup>, Emmanuel Gyan<sup>22</sup>, Claire Calmettes<sup>23</sup>, Odile Rauzy<sup>1</sup>, Fatiha Chermat<sup>24</sup>, Michaela Fontenay, MD PhD<sup>25</sup>, Lionel Ades, MDPH<sup>26</sup>, Pierre Fenaux<sup>27</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France

<sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire (CHRU), Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

<sup>3</sup>CHRU De Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, FRA

<sup>4</sup>Poitier University Hospital, Poitiers, FRA

<sup>5</sup>Saint Louis Hospital AP-HP, Paris, France

<sup>6</sup>Saint Louis Hospital APHP, Paris, France

<sup>7</sup>Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

<sup>8</sup>CH METZ, Metz, FRA

<sup>9</sup>Centre Léon Bérard, LYON CEDEX 08, FRA

<sup>10</sup>CH Lorient, Quimper, FRA

<sup>11</sup>Centre Henri Becquerel, Rouen, France, Rouen, FRA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Clinical Hematology, Angers University Hospital, ANGERS, France

<sup>13</sup>Department of Clinical Hematology, CHU de Besançon, Besançon, France

<sup>14</sup>Albi Hospital, Albi, France

<sup>15</sup>Castres Hospital, CASTRES CEDEX, FRA

<sup>16</sup>Bordeaux University Hospital, Pessac, FRA

<sup>17</sup>CHU Grenoble, Grenoble Cedex 9, FRA

<sup>18</sup>Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, FRA

<sup>19</sup>Service d'onco-hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Général d'Avignon, Avignon, FRA

<sup>20</sup>Hôpital Cochin, AP-HP, Paris, France

<sup>21</sup>CHR Metz-Thionville, Ars Laquenexy, FRA

<sup>22</sup>Service D'Hématologie Et Therapie Cellulaire, Tours Cedex, France

<sup>23</sup>CH de Périgueux, Périgueux, France

<sup>24</sup>Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France

<sup>25</sup>HOPITAL COCHIN, Paris, FRA

<sup>26</sup>Hôpital Saint-Louis, Hematology Department, Hopital Saint Louis, Paris, France

<sup>27</sup>Hopital Saint Louis, Groupe Francophone des Myelodysplasies, Paris, France, PARIS, FRA

**Background**

EMA has recently approved Luspatercept (LUSPA) for the treatment of anemia in adult patients with RBC transfusion-dependent (TD) very low- to intermediate- risk MDS-RS or MDS/MPN with ringed sideroblasts and thrombocytosis (MDS/MPN-RS-T) having failed an ESA. We designed a French observational registry of patients treated with LUSPA according to this label. In case of primary/secondary failure to LUSPA, we also investigated the effect of adding an ESA to LUSPA. The basis of this combination followed a GFM trial showing that, in non-del 5q lower risk MDS failing an ESA, Lenalidomide + ESA gave better results than Lenalidomide alone (Toma A et al. *Leukemia*. 2016 Apr;30(4):897-905). In a phase I study (GFM Combola trial), we found no unexpected side effects in the LUSPA -ESA combination (unpublished).

**Patients and treatment**

This French multicenter prospective observational registry started when the drug became available (July 2022), with a starting dose of 1 mg/kg SC every 3 weeks (2 infusions) and, in the absence of erythroid response increase to 1.33mg/kg (2 injections) and to 1.75 mg/kg (3 injections). In the absence of response after those 7 infusions, the addition of ESA (30 KU /week during 1 month and, if no response, 60 KU/week during 3 months) was recommended.

### Results

Between July 2022 and January 2023, 108 patients (median age 70 years, 47% males) were included. Median time from diagnosis to LUSPA treatment was 64 months (IQR 40-99). According to WHO 2016, 86% of the patients had MDS-RS, 9% MDS-RS-T, and 5% MDS-EB1 or MDS-MLD (but with > 15% ringed cells and/or *SF3B1* mutation). IPSS-R was very low, low, int in 96%, and high in 4%, IPSS-M very low/low/moderate low in 82%. Sixty-six of the 73 (90%) patients tested molecularly had *SF3B1* mutation. All patients had received an ESA and 44 (41%) other treatments (1 to 6, median 1). The median duration of LUSPA exposure was 6.5 months (range 0.5-11), and 94% of patients had received at least 2 months of treatment. Erythroid response (HI-E, according to IWG 2018 criteria) to LUSPA alone was currently evaluable in 83 patients; 76 of them were RBC-TD before LUSPA (23 low TB (LTB) (1-5 units /8 weeks) and 53 high TB (HTB) ( $\geq 6$  units /8 weeks)), and 30 (39%) of these achieved RBC-TI with no relapse after a median of 6.5 months (range 4.4-11). All 9 non-TD patients obtained HI-E and were still responders after a median of 8 (range 6.5-9) months. Of the 85 patients evaluable for response to LUSPA alone, 34% and 48% required a dose increase once or twice, respectively. The prognostic value of IPSS-R karyotype, IPSS-R, number of somatic mutations, LTB, HTB, number of treatments before LUSPA, previous lenalidomide exposure, and iron chelation was analyzed for their prognostic value on HI-E. In multivariate analysis, HTB was the only variable statistically associated with lower HI-E (OR 0.19 [95%CI: 0.03-0.91,  $p=0.047$ ]) independently of IPSS-R and number of somatic mutations.

At least 1 adverse event (AE) was seen in 38/103(37%) of the patients who received at least 2 doses of LUSPA, and 12 patients presented at least one severe AE (grade 3-4 according to CTCAE 5.0): including dizziness (in 5 patients), asthenia ( $n=4$ ), peripheral and axial arthralgia ( $n=4$ ), headache ( $n=2$ ), described by the patients as different from those they experienced with anemia. With a median follow-up of 6 months (IQR 5-8), 20 patients (18.5%) had discontinued LUSPA before 6 months of exposure due to severe AE ( $n=8$ , 7.4%, asthenia, arthralgia, dizziness, headache), lack of HI-E ( $n=3$ ), disease progression ( $n=3$ ), infection ( $n=3$ ), death from intercurrent disease ( $n=2$ ), acute coronary syndrome ( $n=1$ ).

After LUSPA failure, 26 patients (24.1%) received a combination of LUSPA and ESA and are currently followed. Efficacy and safety will be presented at the meeting.

We also tried to correlate gene expression and GDF-11 levels with response. Results will be available at the meeting.

### Conclusions

In this real-life study, we confirmed the results of LUSPA in MDS-RS reported in the MEDALIST study. We observed a significant number of adverse events attributed to LUSPA, some of which led to treatment discontinuation. The importance of RBC transfusion burden was the only prognostic factor of erythroid response.

**Disclosures Comont:** BMS: Consultancy, Speakers Bureau; Novartis: Honoraria, Speakers Bureau; Amgen: Honoraria. **Gyan:** Alexion: Honoraria; Chugai Pharma: Research Funding; Astra Zeneca: Honoraria; BMS: Honoraria, Research Funding; Recordati: Consultancy; Gilead: Honoraria; Fresenius Kabi: Research Funding; Roche: Honoraria; Janssen: Consultancy, Honoraria; Abbvie: Honoraria; Novartis: Honoraria, Research Funding. **Ades:** Novartis: Consultancy, Research Funding; AMGEN: Consultancy; jazz: Honoraria; Abbvie: Consultancy, Research Funding; BMS: Consultancy, Research Funding; ROCHE: Honoraria; KEROS: Consultancy.

<https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-177787>